



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/286,160	04/05/1999	THEODORE E. BRUNING III	PD26112	4617

25235 7590 09/11/2002

HOGAN & HARTSON LLP  
ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500  
1200 SEVENTEENTH ST  
DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER

MAYO, KIMBERLY N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2187

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/286,160

Applicant(s)

BRUNING ET AL.

Examiner

Kimberly N. McLean

Art Unit

2187

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kimberly N. McLean.

(3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jed Caven.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2002 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, 8-9 and 13-14 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Matoba (USPN: 5,611,069) .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Refer to the Attached After-Final Amendment Draft. The Examiner agreed that Matoba does not explicitly teach the front-end controller performing mirroring and striping of data. The Examiner did not agree, however, that the claims as amended are allowable. The Examiner indicated that an updated search would have to be conducted to determine if the amended claims are allowable .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required